

DATE: March 23, 2006

DIGITAL RECORDING: 9:59 a.m. to 10:10 a.m.

- INITIAL APPEARANCE
- DETENTION HEARING
- REMOVAL HEARING (R.40)
- ARRAIGNMENT
- ARRAIGNMENT on SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT
- PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION
-

PRESIDING MAG. JUDGE CHARLES S. COODY

DEPUTY CLERK: WANDA STINSON

CASE NO. 2:05CR268-WHA-CSC

DEFT. NAME: CRYSTAL DENISE PHILLIPS

USA: CHRISTOPHER SNYDER

ATTY: STEPHEN GLASSROTH

Type Counsel: () Retained; () Panel CJA; () Waived; () CDO ;

USPTSO/USPO: DONNELL THOMPSON, STAN ROBINSON

Defendant _____ does does NOT need an interpreter; NAME _____

Kars. Date of Arrest 3/22/06 or karsr40

kia. Deft. First Appearance. Advised of rights/charges. Pro/Sup Rel Violator

SEE MINUTES ATTACHED

LOG OF PROCEEDINGS ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED			
Description	Initial Appearance - 05cr268-A Petition on Pretrial Release Violation		
Date	3/23/2006	Location	Courtroom 4B
Time	Speaker	Note	
9:59:58 AM	Court	Court convenes; parties present as noted; Discussion as to the complaint filed and the initial appearance held yesterday;	
10:00:25 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response; Had initial appearance and preliminary;	
10:00:27 AM	Court	Discussions that the basis of charges in the complaint are identical to basis in the violation petition; Discussion as to questions raised at the preliminary hrg. as to who negotiated check and are trying to secure videotape;	
10:00:58 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response; Mr. Crump, case agent, contacted the Prattville Wal-mart re: existence of videotape that would show transaction; A subpoena has been issued to secure tape;	
10:02:05 AM	Court	Response - Discussion as to whether to delay revocation hrg until we've gotten videotape; Discussion as to the motion for detention;	
10:02:45 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response - would refer that we not go through with revocation proceedings; Discussion of deft's living arrangements - apartment with public housing and the chances of her losing it; Ask the court to consider a combination of conditions;	
10:04:14 AM	Court	Question to the factual basis to believe that the deft is the person who negotiated the check;	
10:04:24 AM	Atty. Synder	Response; Discussion as to information listed on check;	
10:05:25 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response regarding signatures on check one;	
10:05:59 AM	Court	How long will it take to get the videotape?	
10:06:04 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response;	
10:06:44 AM	Atty. Synder	Response;	
10:06:49 AM	Court	Advised Atty. Synder to call the Wal-mart manager and inform him that the court suggests that he turn the tape over to the government or the USMS will bring him in personally to address the court as to why he has not turned tape over; Advised counsel to report back to court what he says;	
10:07:16 AM	Court	Side bar with PO, Donnell Thompson, Atty. Glassroth, and Atty. Synder;	
10:09:43 AM	Court	With consent of the deft, we will continue this proceeding, if suitable living arrangements can be found for the deft having a location where there is a phone, pending any final revocation hrg, the court will order that she be placed on electronic monitoring. Once that's down, the PO should report back to the court and then we can have Ms. Phillips brought back for the execution of those matters;	
10:10:21 AM	Court	Court is recessed.	

LOG OF PROCEEDINGS ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED			
Description	Initial Appearance - 05cr268-A Petition on Pretrial Release Violation		
Date	3/23/2006	Location	Courtroom 4B
Time	Speaker	Note	
9:59:58 AM	Court	Court convenes; parties present as noted; Discussion as to the complaint filed and the initial appearance held yesterday;	
10:00:25 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response; Had initial appearance and preliminary;	
10:00:27 AM	Court	Discussions that the basis of charges in the complaint are identical to basis in the violation petition; Discussion as to questions raised at the preliminary hrg. as to who negotiated check and are trying to secure videotape;	
10:00:58 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response; Mr. Crump, case agent, contacted the Prattville Wal-mart re: existence of videotape that would show transaction; A subpoena has been issued to secure tape;	
10:02:05 AM	Court	Response - Discussion as to whether to delay revocation hrg until we've gotten videotape; Discussion as to the motion for detention;	
10:02:45 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response - would refer that we not go through with revocation proceedings; Discussion of defts living arrangements - apartment with public housing and the chances of her losing it; Ask the court to consider a combination of conditions;	
10:04:14 AM	Court	Question to the factual basis to believe that the deft is the person negotiated the check;	
10:04:24 AM	Atty. Synder	Response; Discussion as to information listed on check;	
10:05:25 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response regarding signatures on check one;	
10:05:59 AM	Court	How long will it take to get the videotape?	
10:06:04 AM	Atty. Glassroth	Response ;	
10:06:44 AM	Atty. Synder	Response;	
10:06:49 AM	COurt	Advised Atty. Synder to call the Wal-mart manager and inform him that the court suggest that he turn the tape over to the government or the USMS will bring him in personally to address the court as to why he has not turned tape over; Advised counsel to report back to court what he said;	
10:07:16 AM	Court	Side bar with PO, Donnell Thompson, Atty. Glassroth, and Atty. Synder;	
10:09:43 AM	Court	With consent of the deft, we will continue this proceeding, if suitable living arrangements can be found for the deft having a location where there is a phone, pending any final revocation hrg, the court will order that she be placed on electronic monitoring. Once that's down, the PO should report back to the court and then we can have Ms. Phillips brought back for the execution of those matter;	
10:10:21 AM	Court	Court is recessed.	